





## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE **Patent and Trademark Office**

Address:	COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
	Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
08/943,511	10/06/97	DIAB	M	MASIMO.007C2

QM41/1125

STEPHEN C JENSEN KNOBBE MARTENS OLSON AND BEAR SIXTEENTH FLOOR 620 NEWPORT CENTER DRIVE NEWPORT BEACH CA 92660

EXAMINER					
WINAKUR,E					
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER				
3736					

DATE MAILED:

11/25/98

Please find below a communication from the EXAMINER in charge of this application.

Commissioner of Patents.

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE TO THIS ACTION IS SET TO EXPIRE\_\_\_\_\_MONTHS, DAYS FROM THE DATE OF THIS LETTER.

## Office Action Summary

Applicant(s)

Application No. 08/943,511

Examiner

Eric Winakur

Group Art Unit 3736

Dlab et al.

This action is FINAL.  Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte QuayNe35 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire 3 month(s), or thirty days, whichever is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to respond within the period for response will cause the application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions of time may be obtained under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a).  Disposition of Claim  Claim(s) 19-34, 37, and 38 is/are pending in the applicat Of the above, claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration of claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration of claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration claim(s) is/are rejected.  Claim(s) 19-34, 37, and 38 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) 19-34, 37, and 38 is/are objected to claim(s) is/are objected to claims are subject to restriction or election requirement.  Application Papers  See the attached Notice of Draffsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948.  The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner.  The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner.  The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  Acknowledgement is objected to the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a).  Certified copies not received control of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  **Certified copies not received control of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).  Attachment(s)  Notice of References Cited, PTO-892  Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s):	Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quay#895 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  A shortened statutory penci of response to this action is set to expire						
longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to respond within the period for response will cause the application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions of time may be obtained under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a).    Disposition of Claim	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed					
Sicilation   19-34, 37, and 38	longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to respond within the application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions of time may be application to become abandoned.	he period for response will cause the				
Of the above, claim(s)	Disposition of Claim					
Claim(s)	X Claim(s) <u>19-34, 37, and 38</u>	is/are pending in the applicat				
Claim(s) 19-34, 37, and 38	Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration				
Claim(s)	Claim(s)	is/are allowed.				
□ Claims	X Claim(s) <u>19-34, 37, and 38</u>	is/are rejected.				
□ Claims	Claim(s)	is/are objected to.				
The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner.  The proposed drawing correction, filed on is approved	Application Papers					
The proposed drawing correction, filed on						
	☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the	Examiner.				
The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).  All	☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is ☐	approveddisapproved.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).  All Some* None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been received.  received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number)  received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  *Certified copies not received:  Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).  Attachment(s)  Notice of References Cited, PTO-892 Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s).  Interview Summary, PTO-413 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948  Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152	∑ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).  All	☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
□ All □Some* None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been □ received. □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number) □ received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *Certified copies not received: □ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).  Attachment(s) ☑ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892 ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s) 2 □ Interview Summary, PTO-413 ☑ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948 □ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152	Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
□ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number) □ received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  *Certified copies not received: □ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).  Attachment(s)  ☒ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892 ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s). □ 2 □ Interview Summary, PTO-413 ☒ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948 □ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d).				
received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number) received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  *Certified copies not received: Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).  Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited, PTO-892 Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s). Interview Summary, PTO-413 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948 Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152	☐ All ☐Some* None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been					
□ received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  *Certified copies not received: □ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).  Attachment(s) □ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892 □ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s). □ 2 □ Interview Summary, PTO-413 □ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948 □ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152	received.					
*Certified copies not received:  Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).  Attachment(s)  Notice of References Cited, PTO-892  Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s).  Interview Summary, PTO-413  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948  Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152	received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number)					
<ul> <li>Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).</li> <li>Attachment(s)</li> <li>Notice of References Cited, PTO-892</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s)2</li> <li>Interview Summary, PTO-413</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948</li> <li>Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152</li> </ul>	received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
<ul> <li>Attachment(s)</li> <li>☒ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892</li> <li>☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s)</li></ul>						
<ul> <li>Notice of References Cited, PTO-892</li> <li>☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s)</li></ul>	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.	C. § 119(e).				
<ul> <li>✓ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s)</li></ul>	Attachment(s)					
<ul> <li>□ Interview Summary, PTO-413</li> <li>☒ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948</li> <li>□ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152</li> </ul>	<del>-</del>					
<ul><li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948</li><li>☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152</li></ul>		-				
☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152	•					
	·					
SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES	Notice of informatic atent Application, FTO-132					
SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES						
	SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES					

Application/Control Number: 08/943,511 Page 2

Art Unit: 3736

1. The specification is objected to as failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the claimed

subject matter. See 37 CFR 1.75(d)(1) and MPEP § 608.01(o). Correction of the following is

required: the "means for applying" as recited in claims 19 and 37 is not supported by or defined in

the specification.

2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

3. Claims 19 - 34, 37, and 38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being

indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant

regards as the invention. With regard to claims 19 and 37, it is unclear what Applicant intends to set

forth by the phrase "means for applying"; the phrase "the output signals" lacks antecedent basis. With

regard to claim 24, the phrase "each of the output signals" lacks antecedent basis; the phrase "for

forming" should read "to form". With regard to claims 25 and 26, the phrase "for providing" should

read "to provide". With regard to claims 27 and 31, the phrase "the output" (line 8) lacks antecedent

basis. With regard to claim 34, the phrase "the power" lacks antecedent basis.

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the

basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on

sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Application/Control Number: 08/943,511

Art Unit: 3736

5. Claims 19, 33, and 34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Suzuki et al.

6. A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

- 7. Claims 24, 25, 26, 37, and 38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 1, 6, 7, 16, and 17 of prior U.S. Patent No. 5,685,299. This is a double patenting rejection.
- 8. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Application/Control Number: 08/943,511

Art Unit: 3736

9. Claims 19 - 23 and 27 - 34 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of double

patenting over claims 1 - 5 and 8 - 15 of U. S. Patent No. 5,685,299 since the claims, if allowed,

would improperly extend the "right to exclude" already granted in the patent.

The subject matter claimed in the instant application is fully disclosed in the patent and is

covered by the patent since the patent and the application are claiming common subject matter, as

follows: The claims of the instant invention are drawn to the same subject matter as the patent except

that they do not include the limitations of claim 24 of the instant invention, which was incorporated

into claim 1 of the patent. Therefore, the claims of the instant invention cover a broader scope than

those of the patent, and any apparatus meeting the claims of the patent would necessarily meet the

limitations of the claims of the instant invention.

Furthermore, there is no apparent reason why applicant was prevented from presenting claims

corresponding to those of the instant application during prosecution of the application which matured

into a patent. See In re Schneller, 397 F.2d 350, 158 USPQ 210 (CCPA 1968). See also MPEP

§ 804.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Eric Winakur whose telephone number is (703) 308 - 3940. The examiner can

normally be reached on Monday - Thursday from 7:30 AM to 5:00 PM. The examiner can also be

reached on alternate Fridays.

Page 4

Art Unit: 3736

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cary O'Connor, can be reached on (703) 308-2701. The fax phone number for this group is (703) 308 - 0758.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308 - 0858.

Eric F. Winakur Art Unit 3736 November 4, 1998 Eti